

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
Judge Chun

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

FAMILIAS UNIDAS POR LA JUSTICIA,
AFL-CIO, a labor organization,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
LABOR, and JULIE SU, in her official capacity
as Acting United States Secretary of Labor,
WASHINGTON STATE EMPLOYMENT
SECURITY DEPARTMENT, and CAMI
FEEK in her official capacity as Commissioner
of Employment Security,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C24-0637JHC

**ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

Defendants Julie Su, Acting Secretary of Labor, and the United States Department of Labor
(hereafter, collectively, “Federal Defendants”), by their attorneys, Tessa M. Gorman, United States
Attorney, and Brian C. Kipnis, Assistant United States Attorney, for the Western District of
Washington, answer the First Amended Complaint filed herein as follows:

FIRST DEFENSE

Federal Defendants, admit, deny, and affirmatively allege as follows:

1. Answering the allegations contained in the first sentence of paragraph 1 of the
Amended Complaint, Federal Defendants admit that Plaintiff has filed a lawsuit against them based
upon the Administrative Procedure Act. Answering the allegations contained in the third and fourth

1 sentences of paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint, Federal Defendants admit that Washington
 2 Employment Security Department (ESD) and Cami Feek, Washington Commissioner of
 3 Employment Security (hereafter “State Defendants”) have been joined as party-defendants in the
 4 lawsuit. Except as expressly admitted or denied, Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation
 5 contained in paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint.

6 2. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 2 of the Amended Complaint,
 7 Federal Defendants admit that Plaintiff is seeking certain types of relief in their lawsuit against
 8 Federal Defendants and against State Defendants. Except as expressly admitted or denied, Federal
 9 Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 2 of the Amended Complaint.

10 3. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the Amended Complaint,
 11 paragraph 3 of the Amended Complaint states only legal conclusions as to the subject matter
 12 jurisdiction of this Court for which no response is required. To the extent a response is required,
 13 Federal Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the Amended Complaint.

14 4. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the Amended Complaint,
 15 paragraph 4 of the Amended Complaint contains only legal conclusions as to the forms of relief
 16 Plaintiff is seeking to obtain through its lawsuit, for which no response is required. To the extent a
 17 response is required, Federal Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the
 18 Amended Complaint.

19 5. Federal Defendants admit that the Western District of Washington is a proper venue
 20 for this lawsuit. Except as expressly admitted, Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack
 21 sufficient information or belief necessary to respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of
 22 the Amended Complaint and, on that basis, deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of the
 23 Amended Complaint.

24 6. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the Amended Complaint,
 25 Federal Defendants admit that an organization calling itself “Familias Unidas por la Justicia” is the
 26 Plaintiff in this lawsuit. Except as expressly admitted, Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that
 27 they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to respond to the other allegations contained in
 28

1 paragraph 6 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis, deny the allegations contained in
 2 paragraph 6 of the Amended Complaint.

3 7. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 7 of the Amended Complaint,
 4 Federal Defendants admit that Defendant Julie Su is the Acting Secretary of Labor and further admit
 5 that Plaintiff has sued Defendant Julie Su in her official capacity. Federal Defendants affirmatively
 6 allege that the Secretary of Labor is the head of the Department of Labor. Except as expressly
 7 admitted, Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 7 of the
 8 Amended Complaint.

9 8. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the Amended Complaint,
 10 Federal Defendants admit that the Department of Labor is an agency of the United States that has
 11 been delegated certain statutory responsibilities in connection with the H-2A Temporary
 12 Agricultural Workers program that include establishing minimum wage rates to be paid to workers
 13 by growers seeking to employ foreign workers possessing H-2A visas. Except as expressly
 14 admitted, Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 8 of the
 15 Amended Complaint.

16 9. Answering the allegations in paragraph 9 of the Amended Complaint, Federal
 17 Defendants admit that Defendant ESD is an agency of the State of Washington. Federal Defendants
 18 further admit that ESD serves as the State Workforce Agency (SWA) for Washington. Federal
 19 Defendants affirmatively allege that as such, ESD receives funds under the Wagner-Peyser Act to,
 20 among other things, provide employment-related services to U.S. workers and employers. Federal
 21 Defendants further affirmatively allege that as part of its role as an SWA, ESD voluntarily conducts
 22 prevailing wage surveys to ascertain a prevailing wage rate for a crop, or agricultural activity, or
 23 distinct work task within an activity, within an appropriate geographic area, and transmits its
 24 findings to Federal Defendants. Except as expressly admitted or affirmatively alleged, Federal
 25 Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 9 of the Amended Complaint.

26 10. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint,
 27 Federal Defendants admit that Defendant Cami Feek is the Washington Commissioner of
 28 Employment Security and as such is the head of the Washington Employment Security Department

1 and is sued here in her official capacity. Except as expressly admitted, Federal Defendants
 2 affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to respond to the
 3 allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis, deny the
 4 allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint.

5 11. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the amended complaint,
 6 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 7 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 8 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the Amended Complaint.

9 12. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the amended complaint,
 10 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 11 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 12 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the Amended Complaint.

13 13. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 13 of the Amended Complaint,
 14 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent they are consistent with 8 U.S.C.
 15 § 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(A) and deny the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with 8 U.S.C.
 16 § 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(A).

17 14. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 14 of the Amended Complaint,
 18 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent they are consistent with 8 U.S.C. § 1184(c)
 19 and deny the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with 8 U.S.C. § 1184(c).

20 15. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 15 of the Amended Complaint,
 21 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent they are consistent with 8 U.S.C. § 1188(a)(1)
 22 and deny the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with 8 U.S.C. § 1188(a)(1).

23 16. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 16 of the Amended Complaint,
 24 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent they are consistent with 20 C.F.R.
 25 § 655.120(a) and deny the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with 20 C.F.R.
 26 § 655.120(a).¹

27 1 20 C.F.R. § 655.120(a) was amended in 2024. Because the allegations in Plaintiff's complaint appear to refer to the
 28 version of this section as it appeared prior to this amendment, the answers in this complaint correspond to the 2022
 version of this regulation.

1 17. Federal Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 17 of the Amended
 2 Complaint.

3 18. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 18 of the Amended Complaint,
 4 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent they are consistent with 75 Fed. Reg.
 5 6884 (Feb. 12, 2010) and deny the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with 75 Fed. Reg.
 6 6884 (Feb. 12, 2010).

7 19. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 19 of the Amended Complaint,
 8 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent they are consistent with 75 Fed. Reg.
 9 6884 (Feb. 12, 2010) and deny the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with 75 Fed. Reg.
 10 6884 (Feb. 12, 2010).

11 20. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 20 of the Amended Complaint,
 12 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent they are consistent with 88 Fed.
 13 Reg. 12760 (Feb. 28, 2023) and deny the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with 88 Fed.
 14 Reg. 12760 (Feb. 28, 2023).

15 21. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 21 of the Amended Complaint,
 16 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent they are consistent with 8 U.S.C. §1188(a)(1)
 17 and deny the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with 8 U.S.C. §1188(a)(1).

18 22. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 22 of the Amended Complaint,
 19 Federal Defendants admit that prior to the enactment of new regulations in 2022, Federal Defendants
 20 relied on Handbook ETA 232 in carrying out their responsibilities relative to the setting of wage
 21 rates for use in the H-2A program. Except as expressly admitted, Federal Defendants deny each and
 22 every allegation contained in paragraph 22 of the Amended Complaint.

23 23. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 23 of the Amended Complaint,
 24 Federal Defendants admit that, among other things, State Workforce Agencies voluntarily carry out
 25 prevailing wage surveys pursuant to grant agreements with the federal government. Federal
 26 Defendants further admit that when State Workforce Agencies conduct such surveys, they report
 27 their wage rate findings to the U.S. Department of Labor. Federal Defendants further admit that, in
 28 order for wage rate findings resulting from such surveys to be validated, the surveys must meet

1 minimum standards set forth in federal regulations promulgated by the Department of Labor. Except
 2 as expressly admitted, Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 23
 3 of the Amended Complaint.

4 24. Federal Defendants admit the allegation contained in paragraph 24.

5 25. Federal Defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 25 of the Amended
 6 Complaint.

7 26. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 26 of the Amended Complaint,
 8 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 9 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 26 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 10 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 26 of the Amended Complaint.

11 27. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 27 of the Amended Complaint,
 12 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 13 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 27 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 14 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 27 of the Amended Complaint.

15 28. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 28 of the Amended Complaint,
 16 Federal Defendants deny that either they or ESD committed any methodological missteps in regards
 17 to the 2019 ESD. Except as expressly denied, Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack
 18 sufficient information or belief necessary to respond to the remaining allegations contained in
 19 paragraph 28 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis, deny the allegations contained in
 20 paragraph 28 of the Amended Complaint.

21 29. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 29 of the Amended Complaint,
 22 Federal Defendants admit that in 2021, the Plaintiffs in *Ramon Torres Hernandez, et al., v. Secretary*
of Labor, et al., Case No. 1:20-cv-03241, were able to obtain a preliminary injunction against the
 24 Department of Labor pertaining to prevailing wage rates in Washington based upon a prevailing
 25 wage rate survey conducted by ESD in 2018. Except as expressly admitted, Federal Defendants
 26 deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 29 of the Amended Complaint.

27 30. Federal Defendants admit the allegation contained in paragraph 30 of the Amended
 28 Complaint.

1 31. Federal Defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 31 of the Amended
 2 Complaint.

3 32. Federal Defendants admit the allegation contained in paragraph 32 of the Amended
 4 Complaint.

5 33. Federal Defendants admit the allegation contained in paragraph 33 of the Amended
 6 Complaint.

7 34. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 34 of the Amended Complaint,
 8 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent they are consistent with 84 Fed. Reg. 36168
 9 (July 26, 2019) and deny the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with 84 Fed. Reg. 36168
 10 (July 26, 2019).

11 35. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 35 of the Amended Complaint,
 12 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent they are consistent with 84 Fed. Reg. 36168
 13 (July 26, 2019) and deny the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with 84 Fed. Reg. 36168
 14 (July 26, 2019).

15 36. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 36 of the Amended Complaint,
 16 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent they are consistent with 84 Fed. Reg. 36168
 17 (July 26, 2019) and deny the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with 84 Fed. Reg. 36168
 18 (July 26, 2019).

19 37. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 37 of the Amended Complaint,
 20 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent they are consistent with 84 Fed. Reg. 36168
 21 (July 26, 2019) and deny the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with 84 Fed. Reg. 36168
 22 (July 26, 2019).

23 38. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 38 of the Amended Complaint,
 24 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent they are consistent with 84 Fed. Reg. 36168
 25 (July 26, 2019) and deny the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with 84 Fed. Reg. 36168
 26 (July 26, 2019).

27 39. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 39 of the Amended Complaint,
 28 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent they are consistent with 84 Fed. Reg. 36168

1 (July 26, 2019) and deny the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with 84 Fed. Reg. 36168
 2 (July 26, 2019).

3 40. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 40 of the Amended Complaint,
 4 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent they are consistent with 84 Fed. Reg. 36168
 5 (July 26, 2019) and deny the allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with 84 Fed. Reg. 36168
 6 (July 26, 2019).

7 41. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 41 of the Amended Complaint,
 8 Federal Defendants admit that although ESD submitted the results of its 2021 Survey to Federal
 9 Defendants in mid-2022, before Federal Defendants' promulgation of their new federal regulations
 10 in November 2022, ESD withdrew those survey results after the promulgation of the new federal
 11 regulations indicating that it would re-calculate prevailing wage rates under the new federal
 12 regulations. Except as expressly admitted, Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation
 13 contained in paragraph 41 of the Amended Complaint.

14 42. Federal Defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 42 of the Amended
 15 Complaint.

16 43. Federal Defendants admit that pursuant to 20 C.F.R. § 655.120(c)(2), the prevailing
 17 wage rates established by Federal Defendants for the 2022 harvest season, based on 2020 survey
 18 results, expired as a matter of law, and were no longer valid in May 2023. Except as expressly
 19 admitted, Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 43 of the
 20 Amended Complaint.

21 44. Federal Defendants admit the allegation contained in paragraph 44 of the Amended
 22 Complaint.

23 45. Answering the allegations contained in the first sentence of paragraph 45 of the
 24 Amended Complaint, Federal Defendants deny the allegation and affirmatively allege that the date
 25 of ESD's submission was August 11, 2024. Federal Defendants admit the allegation contained in
 26 the second sentence of paragraph 45 of the Amended Complaint.

27 46. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 46 of the Amended Complaint,
 28 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent the graph correctly represents what it is

1 purported to represent and denies the allegations to the extent the graph incorrectly represents what it
 2 purports to represent.

3 47. Federal Defendants admit that as of the day of the filing of Plaintiff's original
 4 complaint on May 7, 2024, Federal Defendants had not yet validated the results of the survey ESD
 5 conducted in 2022 for use in connection with the 2024 harvest season. Federal Defendants
 6 affirmatively allege that the preliminary injunction issued in this action on July 2, 2024, preempted
 7 any final agency action by Federal Defendants on the survey results ESD submit to the Department
 8 for the purpose of setting prevailing wage rates for the 2024 harvest season in Washington. Except
 9 as expressly admitted or affirmatively alleged, Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation
 10 contained in paragraph 47 of the Amended Complaint.

11 48. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 48.

12 49. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 49.

13 50. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 50.

14 51. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 51.

15 52. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 52 of the Amended Complaint,
 16 Federal Defendants admit that pursuant to their regulations, specifically, 20 C.F.R. § 655.120(c)(2),
 17 prevailing wage rates established by Federal Defendants automatically expire after one year as a
 18 matter of law. Except as expressly admitted, Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation
 19 contained in paragraph 52 of the Amended Complaint.

20 53. Answering the allegation contained in the first sentence of paragraph 53 of the
 21 Amended Complaint, Federal Defendants admit the allegation to the extent it is consistent with
 22 87 Fed. Reg. 61660 (Oct. 12, 2022) and deny the allegation to the extent it is inconsistent with
 23 87 Fed. Reg. 61660 (Oct. 12, 2022). Answering the allegation contained in the second sentence of
 24 paragraph 53 of the Amended Complaint, Federal Defendants deny the allegation.

25 54. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 54 and
 26 affirmatively allege that they have no legal obligation to "set prevailing rates each year" under
 27 applicable statutes or federal regulations.

28

1 55. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 55 of the Amended Complaint,
 2 Federal Defendants admit that, pursuant to federal regulations, specifically, 20 C.F.R.
 3 § 655.120(c)(2), prevailing wage rates established by the Department for the H-2A program in
 4 Washington for the 2022 harvest season, based on results of the 2020 survey, expired as a matter of
 5 law. Except as expressly admitted, Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in
 6 paragraph 55 of the Amended Complaint.

7 56. Answering the allegation contained in the first sentence of paragraph 56 of the
 8 Amended Complaint, Federal Defendants admit that prior to the promulgation of 20 C.F.R.
 9 § 655.120(c)(2), prevailing wage rates established by the Department for the H-2A program did not
 10 expire automatically as a matter of law and would sometimes remain in effect until a new prevailing
 11 wage rate was established by the Department. Answering the allegation contained in the second
 12 sentence of paragraph 56 of the Amended Complaint, Federal Defendants admit the allegation to the
 13 extent it is consistent with “Handbook 385” and deny the allegation to the extent it is inconsistent
 14 with 87 Fed. Reg. 61660 (Oct. 12, 2022).

15 57. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 57 of the
 16 Amended Complaint.

17 58. Federal Defendants admit the allegation contained in paragraph 58 of the Amended
 18 Complaint.

19 59. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 59 of the Amended Complaint,
 20 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 21 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 59 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 22 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 59 of the Amended Complaint.

23 60. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 60 of the Amended Complaint,
 24 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 25 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 60 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 26 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 60 of the Amended Complaint.

27 61. Federal Defendants admit the allegation contained in paragraph 61 of the Amended
 28 Complaint.

1 62. Federal Defendants admit the allegation contained in paragraph 62 of the Amended
 2 Complaint as to a crop activity or agricultural activity or distinct work task.

3 63. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 63 of the Amended Complaint,
 4 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 5 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 63 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 6 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 63 of the Amended Complaint.

7 64. Answering the allegation contained in paragraph 64 of the Amended Complaint,
 8 Federal Defendants admit the allegation to the extent it is consistent with 87 Fed. Reg. 61660
 9 (Oct. 12, 2022) and deny the allegation to the extent it is inconsistent with 87 Fed. Reg. 61660
 10 (Oct. 12, 2022).

11 65. Federal Defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 65 of the Amended
 12 Complaint.

13 66. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 66 of the Amended Complaint,
 14 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 15 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 66 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 16 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 66 of the Amended Complaint.

17 67. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 67 of the Amended Complaint,
 18 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 19 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 67 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 20 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 67 of the Amended Complaint.

21 68. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 68 of the
 22 Amended Complaint.

23 69. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 69 of the
 24 Amended Complaint.

25 70. Answering the allegation contained in paragraph 70 of the Amended Complaint,
 26 Federal Defendants admit the allegation to the extent it is consistent with 20 C.F.R. 655.120(c)(1)(v)
 27 and deny the allegation to the extent it is inconsistent with 20 C.F.R. 655.120(c)(1)(v).

1 71. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 71 of the Amended Complaint,
 2 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 3 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 71 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 4 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 71 of the Amended Complaint.

5 72. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 72 of the Amended Complaint,
 6 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 7 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 72 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 8 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 72 of the Amended Complaint.

9 73. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 73 of the Amended Complaint,
 10 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 11 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 73 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 12 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 73 of the Amended Complaint.

13 74. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 74 of the
 14 Amended Complaint.

15 75. Answering the allegation contained in paragraph 75 of the Amended Complaint,
 16 Federal Defendants admit the allegation to the extent it is consistent with 20 C.F.R.
 17 § 655.120(c)(1)(vii) and deny the allegation to the extent it is inconsistent with 20 C.F.R.
 18 § 655.120(c)(1)(vii).

19 76. Answering the allegation contained in paragraph 76 of the Amended Complaint,
 20 Federal Defendants admit the allegation to the extent it is consistent with ESD's 2022 survey
 21 findings and deny the allegation to the extent it is inconsistent with ESD's 2022 survey findings.

22 77. Answering the allegation contained in paragraph 77 of the Amended Complaint,
 23 Federal Defendants admit the allegation to the extent it is consistent with ESD's 2022 survey
 24 findings and deny the allegation to the extent it is inconsistent with ESD's 2022 survey findings.

25 78. Answering the allegation contained in paragraph 78 of the Amended Complaint,
 26 Federal Defendants admit the allegation to the extent it is consistent with ESD's 2022 survey
 27 findings and deny the allegation to the extent it is inconsistent with ESD's 2022 survey findings.

1 79. Answering the allegation contained in paragraph 79 of the Amended Complaint,
 2 Federal Defendants admit the allegation to the extent it is consistent with ESD's 2022 survey
 3 findings and deny the allegation to the extent it is inconsistent with ESD's 2022 survey findings.

4 80. Answering the allegation contained in paragraph 80 of the Amended Complaint,
 5 Federal Defendants admit the allegation to the extent it is consistent with ESD's 2022 survey
 6 findings and deny the allegation to the extent it is inconsistent with ESD's 2022 survey findings.

7 81. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 81 of the Amended Complaint,
 8 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 9 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 81 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 10 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 81 of the Amended Complaint.

11 82. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 82 of the Amended Complaint,
 12 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 13 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 82 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 14 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 82 of the Amended Complaint.

15 83. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 83 of the Amended Complaint,
 16 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 17 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 83 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 18 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 83 of the Amended Complaint.

19 84. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 84 of the
 20 Amended Complaint.

21 85. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 85 of the
 22 Amended Complaint.

23 86. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 86 of the
 24 Amended Complaint.

25 87. Answering the allegation contained in paragraph 87 of the Amended Complaint,
 26 Federal Defendants admit the allegation to the extent it is consistent with federal regulations
 27 promulgated by Federal Defendants in 2022 establishing minimum standards for prevailing wage
 28 surveys conducted by SWAs for purposes of supporting Federal Defendants' role in establishing

1 prevailing wage rates for use in the H-2A program, and deny the allegation to the extent it is
 2 inconsistent with federal regulations promulgated by Federal Defendants in 2022 establishing
 3 minimum standards for prevailing wage surveys conducted by SWAs for purposes of supporting
 4 Federal Defendants' role in establishing prevailing wage rates for use in the H-2A program.

5 88. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 88 of the
 6 Amended Complaint.

7 89. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 89 of the Amended Complaint,
 8 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 9 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 89 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 10 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 89 of the Amended Complaint.

11 90. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 90 of the Amended Complaint,
 12 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 13 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 90 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 14 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 90 of the Amended Complaint.

15 91. Federal Defendants admit that in 2022, the Adverse Effect Wage Rate (AEWR) was
 16 \$17.41. Except as expressly admitted, Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained
 17 in paragraph 91 of the Amended Complaint.

18 92. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 92 of the Amended Complaint,
 19 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 20 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 92 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 21 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 92 of the Amended Complaint.

22 93. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 93 of the Amended Complaint,
 23 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 24 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 93 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 25 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 93 of the Amended Complaint.

26 94. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 94 of the Amended Complaint,
 27 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 28

1 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 94 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 2 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 94 of the Amended Complaint.

3 95. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 95 of the Amended Complaint,
 4 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 5 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 95 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 6 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 95 of the Amended Complaint.

7 96. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 96 of the Amended Complaint,
 8 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 9 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 96 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 10 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 96 of the Amended Complaint.

11 97. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 97 of the Amended Complaint,
 12 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 13 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 97 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 14 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 97 of the Amended Complaint.

15 98. Answering the allegation contained in paragraph 98 of the Amended Complaint,
 16 Federal Defendants admit the allegation to the extent it is consistent with ESD's 2022 survey
 17 findings and deny the allegation to the extent it is inconsistent with ESD's 2022 survey findings.

18 99. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 99 of the
 19 Amended Complaint.

20 100. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 100 of the Amended Complaint,
 21 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent they are consistent with federal regulations
 22 promulgated by Federal Defendants in 2022 establishing minimum standards for prevailing wage
 23 surveys conducted by SWAs for purposes of supporting Federal Defendants' role in establishing
 24 prevailing wage rates for use in the H-2A program, and deny the allegations to the extent they are
 25 inconsistent with federal regulations promulgated by Federal Defendants in 2022 establishing
 26 minimum standards for prevailing wage surveys conducted by SWAs for purposes of supporting
 27 Federal Defendants' role in establishing prevailing wage rates for use in the H-2A program.

28

1 101. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 101 of the Amended Complaint,
 2 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent they are consistent with federal regulations
 3 promulgated by Federal Defendants in 2022 establishing minimum standards for prevailing wage
 4 surveys conducted by SWAs for purposes of supporting Federal Defendants' role in establishing
 5 prevailing wage rates for use in the H-2A program, and deny the allegations to the extent they are
 6 inconsistent with federal regulations promulgated by Federal Defendants in 2022 establishing
 7 minimum standards for prevailing wage surveys conducted by SWAs for purposes of supporting
 8 Federal Defendants' role in establishing prevailing wage rates for use in the H-2A program.

9 102. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 102 of the Amended Complaint,
 10 Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent they are consistent with federal regulations
 11 promulgated by Federal Defendants in 2022 establishing minimum standards for prevailing wage
 12 surveys conducted by SWAs for purposes of supporting Federal Defendants' role in establishing
 13 prevailing wage rates for use in the H-2A program, and deny the allegations to the extent they are
 14 inconsistent with federal regulations promulgated by Federal Defendants in 2022 establishing
 15 minimum standards for prevailing wage surveys conducted by SWAs for purposes of supporting
 16 Federal Defendants' role in establishing prevailing wage rates for use in the H-2A program.

17 103. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 103 of the Amended Complaint,
 18 paragraph 103 does not contain any allegation of fact but instead poses a hypothetical circumstance
 19 to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Federal Defendants deny
 20 each and every allegation contained in paragraph 103 of the Amended Complaint.

21 104. Answering the allegation contained in paragraph 104 of the Amended Complaint,
 22 Federal Defendants admit the allegation to the extent it is consistent with ESD's 2022 survey
 23 findings and deny the allegation to the extent it is inconsistent with ESD's 2022 survey findings

24 105. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 105 of the Amended Complaint,
 25 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 26 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 105 of the Amended Complaint with respect to the
 27 methodology used by ESD in its 2022 employer survey and, on that basis, deny the allegations
 28 contained in paragraph 105 of the Amended Complaint with respect to the methodology used by

1 ESD in its 2022 survey. Except as otherwise expressly admitted or denied, Federal Defendants deny
 2 each and every allegation contained in paragraph 105 of the Amended Complaint.

3 106. Federal Defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 106 of the Amended
 4 Complaint.

5 107. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 107 of the Amended Complaint,
 6 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 7 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 107 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 8 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 107 of the Amended Complaint.

9 108. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 108 of the Amended Complaint,
 10 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 11 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 108 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 12 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 108 of the Amended Complaint.

13 109. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 109 of the Amended Complaint,
 14 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 15 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 109 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 16 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 109 of the Amended Complaint.

17 110. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 110 of the Amended Complaint,
 18 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 19 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 110 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 20 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 110 of the Amended Complaint.

21 111. Answering the allegations contained in the first sentence of paragraph 111 of the
 22 Amended Complaint, Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or
 23 belief necessary to respond to the allegations contained in the first sentence of paragraph 111 of the
 24 Amended Complaint and, on that basis, deny the allegations contained in the first sentence of
 25 paragraph 111 of the Amended Complaint. Answering the allegation contained in the second
 26 sentence of paragraph 111 of the Amended Complaint, Federal Defendant deny the allegation
 27 contained in the second sentence of paragraph 111 of the Amended Complaint.

28

1 112. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 112 of the
2 Amended Complaint.

3 113. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 113 of the
4 Amended Complaint.

5 114. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 114 of the Amended Complaint,
6 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
7 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 114 of the Amended Complaint with respect to the
8 methodology used by ESD in its 2022 employer survey and, on that basis, deny the allegations
9 contained in paragraph 114 of the Amended Complaint with respect to the methodology used by
10 ESD in its 2022 survey. Except as otherwise expressly admitted or denied, Federal Defendants deny
11 each and every allegation contained in paragraph 114 of the Amended Complaint.

12 115. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 115 of the
13 Amended Complaint.

14 116. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 116 of the Amended Complaint,
15 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
16 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 116 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
17 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 116 of the Amended Complaint.

18 117. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 117 of the
19 Amended Complaint.

20 118. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 118 of the
21 Amended Complaint.

22 119. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 119 of the Amended Complaint,
23 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
24 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 119 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
25 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 119 of the Amended Complaint.

26 120. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 120 of the
27 Amended Complaint.

1 121. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 121 of the
 2 Amended Complaint.

3 122. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 122 of the Amended Complaint,
 4 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 5 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 122 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 6 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 122 of the Amended Complaint.

7 123. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 123 of the Amended Complaint,
 8 Federal Defendants admit that they do not prescribe the precise manner in which SWAs conduct
 9 their prevailing wage surveys to include any specific verification requirements. Federal Defendants
 10 affirmatively allege that SWAs are required to conduct their prevailing wage surveys in accordance
 11 with the minimum standards required by federal regulations in order to produce survey results that
 12 can be validated by the Department. As to the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 123 of
 13 the Amended Complaint, Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient
 14 information or belief necessary to respond to the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 123
 15 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis, deny the remaining allegations contained in
 16 paragraph 119 of the Amended Complaint.

17 124. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 124 of the
 18 Amended Complaint.

19 125. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 125 of the
 20 Amended Complaint.

21 126. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 126 of the
 22 Amended Complaint.

23 127. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 127 of the Amended Complaint,
 24 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 25 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 127 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 26 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 127 of the Amended Complaint.

27 128. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 128 of the Amended Complaint,
 28 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to

1 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 128 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 2 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 128 of the Amended Complaint.

3 129. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 129 of the Amended Complaint,
 4 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 5 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 129 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 6 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 129 of the Amended Complaint.

7 130. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 130 of the Amended Complaint,
 8 Federal Defendants admit that they do not prescribe the precise manner in which SWAs conduct
 9 their prevailing wage surveys. Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that SWAs are required to
 10 conduct their prevailing wage surveys in accordance with the minimum standards required by
 11 federal regulations in order to produce survey results that can be validated by the Department.

12 131. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 131 of the Amended Complaint,
 13 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 14 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 131 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 15 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 131 of the Amended Complaint.

16 132. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 132 of the
 17 Amended Complaint.

18 133. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 133 of the
 19 Amended Complaint.

20 134. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 134 of the
 21 Amended Complaint.

22 135. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 135 of the
 23 Amended Complaint.

24 136. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 136 of the Amended Complaint,
 25 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 26 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 136 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 27 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 136 of the Amended Complaint.

28

1 137. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 137 of the Amended Complaint,
 2 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 3 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 137 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 4 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 137 of the Amended Complaint.

5 138. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 138 of the Amended Complaint,
 6 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 7 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 138 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 8 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 138 of the Amended Complaint.

9 139. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 139 of the Amended Complaint,
 10 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 11 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 139 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 12 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 139 of the Amended Complaint.

13 140. Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 140 of the
 14 Amended Complaint.

15 141. Federal Defendants admit the allegation contained in paragraph 141 of the Amended
 16 Complaint.

17 142. Federal Defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 142 of the Amended
 18 Complaint.

19 143. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 143 of the Amended Complaint,
 20 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 21 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 143 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 22 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 143 of the Amended Complaint.

23 144. Federal Defendants admit the allegation contained in paragraph 144 of the Amended
 24 Complaint.

25 145. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 145 of the Amended Complaint,
 26 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 27 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 145 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 28 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 145 of the Amended Complaint.

1 146. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 146 of the Amended Complaint,
2 Federal Defendants admit the allegation contained in paragraph 146 of the Amended Complaint as to
3 Fiscal Year 2023 only. Except as expressly admitted, Federal Defendants deny each and every
4 allegation contained in paragraph 146 of the Amended Complaint.

5 147. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 147 of the Amended Complaint,
6 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
7 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 147 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
8 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 147 of the Amended Complaint.

9 148. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 148 of the Amended Complaint,
10 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
11 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 148 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
12 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 148 of the Amended Complaint.

13 149. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 149 of the Amended Complaint,
14 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
15 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 149 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
16 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 149 of the Amended Complaint.

17 150. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 150 of the Amended Complaint,
18 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
19 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 150 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
20 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 150 of the Amended Complaint.

21 151. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 151 of the Amended Complaint,
22 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
23 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 151 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
24 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 151 of the Amended Complaint.

25 152. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 152 of the Amended Complaint,
26 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
27 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 152 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
28 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 152 of the Amended Complaint.

1 153. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 153 of the Amended Complaint,
2 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
3 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 153 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
4 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 153 of the Amended Complaint.

5 154. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 154 of the Amended Complaint,
6 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
7 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 154 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
8 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 154 of the Amended Complaint.

9 155. Federal Defendants admit the allegations to the extent that the chart is an accurate
10 representation of the data it purports to depict and deny the allegations to the extent that the chart is
11 an inaccurate representation of the data it purports to depict.

12 156. Federal Defendants admit the allegation contained in paragraph 156 of the Amended
13 Complaint.

14 157. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 157 of the Amended Complaint,
15 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
16 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 157 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
17 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 157 of the Amended Complaint.

18 158. Federal Defendants admit the allegation contained in paragraph 158 of the Amended
19 Complaint.

20 159. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 159 of the Amended Complaint,
21 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
22 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 159 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
23 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 159 of the Amended Complaint.

24 160. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 160 of the Amended Complaint,
25 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
26 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 160 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
27 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 160 of the Amended Complaint.

28

1 161. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 161 of the Amended Complaint,
 2 Federal Defendants admit that the results of ESD's 2023 employer survey were not released at the
 3 time the original complaint in this action was filed. Except as expressly admitted, Federal
 4 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 161 of the Amended Complaint.

5 162. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 162 of the Amended Complaint,
 6 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 7 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 162 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 8 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 162 of the Amended Complaint.

9 163. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 163 of the Amended Complaint,
 10 Federal Defendants admit that ESD submitted to DOL prevailing wage findings based on the 2022
 11 employer survey for four Washington fruit harvests. Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that
 12 ESD submitted a total of 35 ETA-232s, 9 with prevailing wage findings, and of those 9, four were
 13 for fruit harvesting activities.

14 164. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 164 of the Amended Complaint,
 15 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that of the 35 ETA-232s submitted by ESD based on its
 16 2022 survey, 18 were for fruit harvesting (four of which had prevailing wage findings, 14 of which
 17 had "no finding"); 15 were for fruit pruning; 2 were for fruit thinning. Except as affirmatively
 18 alleged, Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 164 of the
 19 Amended Complaint.

20 165. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 165 of the Amended Complaint,
 21 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 22 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 165 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 23 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 165 of the Amended Complaint.

24 166. Federal Defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 166 of the Amended
 25 Complaint.

26 167. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 167 of the Amended Complaint,
 27 Federal Defendants admit that in its result for its 2022 agricultural employer survey, ESD did not
 28 find a prevailing wage for harvesting activities of any apple variety in Washington. Except as

1 expressly admitted, Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 167 of
 2 the Amended Complaint.

3 168. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 168 of the Amended Complaint,
 4 Federal Defendants admit that in its result for its 2022 agricultural employer survey, ESD did not
 5 find a prevailing wage for harvesting activities of Red Delicious, Golden, Granny Smith, Gala, Fuji,
 6 Cripps Pink, Honeycrisp, Ambrosia, and Cosmic Crisp apple varieties. Except as expressly
 7 admitted, Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 168 of the
 8 Amended Complaint.

9 169. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 169 of the Amended Complaint,
 10 Federal Defendants admit that in its result for its 2022 agricultural employer survey, ESD did not
 11 find a prevailing wage for harvesting activities for four of five varieties of cherries it surveyed, or for
 12 “general cherry” harvest. Except as expressly admitted, Federal Defendants deny each and every
 13 allegation contained in paragraph 169 of the Amended Complaint.

14 170. Federal Defendants admit the allegation contained in paragraph 170 of the Amended
 15 Complaint.

16 171. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 171 of the Amended Complaint,
 17 Federal Defendants admit that where no prevailing wage is found for an activity, growers seeking to
 18 employ foreign agricultural workers pursuant to the H-2A program must pay their employees at least
 19 the highest of the Federal or State minimum wage, the wage rate established through collective
 20 bargaining or the Adverse Effect Wage Rate (AEWR) for that activity. Except as expressly
 21 admitted, Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in paragraph 171 of the
 22 Amended Complaint.

23 172. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 172 of the Amended Complaint,
 24 Federal Defendants admit the allegation contained in paragraph 172 of the Amended Complaint, and
 25 affirmatively allege that they are enjoined by the preliminary injunction issued in this action to
 26 reinstate wage rates effective for the 2022 harvest season for the duration of this lawsuit.

27 173. Federal Defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 173 of the Amended
 28 Complaint.

1 174. Federal Defendants admit that at the time Plaintiff filed its original complaint, Federal
 2 Defendants had not yet taken any final agency action on the basis of ESD's 2022 survey results.
 3 Except as expressly admitted, Federal Defendants deny each and every allegation contained in
 4 paragraph 174 of the Amended Complaint.

5 175. Federal Defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 175 of the Amended
 6 Complaint.

7 176. Federal Defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 176 of the Amended
 8 Complaint.

9 177. Federal Defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 177 of the Amended
 10 Complaint.

11 178. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 178 of the Amended Complaint,
 12 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 13 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 178 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 14 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 178 of the Amended Complaint.

15 179. Federal Defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 179 of the Amended
 16 Complaint.

17 180. Federal Defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 180 of the Amended
 18 Complaint.

19 181. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 181 of the Amended Complaint,
 20 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 21 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 181 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 22 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 181 of the Amended Complaint.

23 182. Answering the allegations contained in paragraph 182 of the Amended Complaint,
 24 Federal Defendants affirmatively allege that they lack sufficient information or belief necessary to
 25 respond to the allegations contained in paragraph 182 of the Amended Complaint and, on that basis,
 26 deny the allegations contained in paragraph 182 of the Amended Complaint.

27 183. Federal Defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 183 of the Amended
 28 Complaint.

184. Federal Defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 184 of the Amended Complaint.

185. Federal Defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 185 of the Amended Complaint.

186. Federal Defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 186 of the Amended Complaint.

187. Federal Defendants deny the allegation contained in paragraph 187 of the Amended Complaint.

SECOND DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of claim preclusion.

THIRD DEFENSE

Plaintiff has forfeited their claims because of their failure to exhaust administrative remedies.

FOURTH DEFENSE

The Washington Employment Security Department and the Washington Commissioner of the Employment Security Department are necessary parties in whose absence this action cannot proceed in equity and good conscience.

111

111

111

111

111

111

111

111

111

111

1

1

1 WHEREFORE defendants Julie Su, Acting Director, United States Department of Labor, and
2 the United States Department of Labor, pray for judgment as follows:

3 1. For an order dismissing Plaintiff's complaint in its entirety with prejudice;
4 2. For an award of Federal Defendants' costs and disbursements incurred in this action;
5 3. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

6
7 DATED this 1st day of November 2022.

8 Respectfully submitted,

9 TESSA M. GORMAN
10 United States Attorney

11 */s/ Brian C. Kipnis*
12 BRIAN C. KIPNIS
13 Assistant United States Attorney
14 Office of the United States Attorney
15 5220 United States Courthouse
16 700 Stewart Street
17 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271
18 Phone: (206) 553-7970
19 E-mail: brian.kipnis@usdoj.gov

20
21 Attorneys for Federal Defendants